AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF PHILOSOPHY AND POLITICAL SCIENCE DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE AND POLITICAL TECHNOLOGIES

PROGRAM OF FINAL EXAM BY DISCIPLINE

METHODOLOGY OF MODERN POLITICAL RESEARCH

Number-of credits - 5 Course - 1

Almaty, 2024

The program of the final exam in the discipline was compiled by PhD,Acting Associate Professor of the Department of Political Science and Political Technologies Abzhapparova A.A.

Based on the working curriculum of the EP "8D03104 Political Science". Reviewed and presented at the meeting of the Department of Political Science and Political Technologies

Protocol no. __ from "___" ____ 2024,

Head of the Department, Professor ______ G.O. Nassimova

program

in the discipline of Methodology of modern political research

The final exam is conducted in offline writtenl form. Form of the exam-onfine: traditional-answers to questions.

Students should read the instructions for organizing the winter exam session.

The process of passing a written exam by a student involves **the automatic creation of an exam card**, which the student must answer orally to the examination board. When conducting an oral exam, video recording is mandatory.

Control of the exam

The teacher or the exam board:

- performs video recording of the exam,
- saves a video recording of the exam for 3 months from the end of the session. **Duration**

The exam is held according to the schedule approved in the Univer system. The exam duration is 2 hours.

BASED ON THE RESULTS OF PASSING THE EXAM:

1. The exam board and the teacher certify the exam participants.

2. Add points to the final list in the Univer IC.

The time required to add points to the certification list for an oral exam is **48 hours.**

List of exam topics to prepare for the exam

1. Methods of political analysis;

2. Structuring an article/research paper: what are the "mandatory" sections in a paper;

- 3. Methodology (qualitative);
- 4. Methodology (quantitative);
- 5. Causality and correlation: what does B when A does that Spurious correlation;
- 6. Choosing among Data Collection Methods;
- 7. Framing your research (and a bit about abstracts);
- 8. Abstracts and summarising your research;
- 9. Literature review: how to search, hierarchise literature and build a narrative;
- 10. The introduction section in a scientific paper;
- 11. Choosing a journal: why the "best journal" is not always the best journal for you;
- 12. Document Analysis: Using Written Reports;
- 13. The art of networking: conferencing, online networking and other approaches;
- 14. Advantages of Using Archived Surveys;
- 15. Wrapping up (clarifying any points that hare remained unclear).

Rating criteria:

Rating	Criteria					
Excellent	1. Correct and complete answers to all theoretical					
	questions are given;					
	2. The practical task is completely solved;					
	3. The material is presented correctly in accordance with					
	the logical sequence;					
	4. Creative abilities are demonstrated.					
Good	1. Correct but incomplete answers to all theoretical					
	questions are given, and minor errors or inaccuracies are					
	made;					
	2. The practical task was completed, but a minor mistake					
	was made;					
	3. The material is presented correctly in accordance with					
	the logical sequence.					
Satisfactory	1. The answers to theoretical questions are correct in					
	principle, but incomplete, there are inaccuracies in the wording and logical errors;					
	2. The practical task is not fully completed;					
	3. The material is presented correctly, but the logical					
	sequence is broken.					
Unsatisfactory	1. Answers to theoretical questions contain gross errors;					
	2. Practical task failed;					
	3. Grammatical and terminological errors were made in					
	the presentation of the answer, and the logical sequence					
	was broken.					
Necessarily	All written exam papers must be checked for plagiarism.					
	The minimum threshold for an exam answer is 75%. If the					
	written work does not pass the plagiarism check, the work					
	will be canceled.					

Recommended temperature: екомендуемая литература:

1. Abel Polese. The SCOPUS Diaries and the (il)logics of Academic Survival: A Short Guide to Design Your Own Strategy And Survive Bibliometrics, Conferences, and Unreal Expectations in Academia Kindle Edition. – Stuttgart, 2018. – 233 p.

2. Болпонова А.Б. Анализ государственной политики. Учебно-метод.пособие/- Б.: Maxprint, 2020. - 200 с

3. Чеботарёв А.Е. Политическая мысль суверенного Казахстана: истоки, эволюция, современность. Монография. – Нур-Султан: Казахстанский институт стратегических исследований при Президенте Республики Казахстан, 2021. – 484 с.

4. Турлыбекова А.М. Политический анализ и прогнозирование. Павлодар : Кереку, 2018. — 138 с.

5. Европейская аналитика 2022 = European analytics 2022 / Федеральное гос. бюджетное учреждение науки Ин-т Европы Российской акад. наук / под общ. ред. К. Н. Гусева, ред. кол.: Ал. А. Громыко (предс.) [и др.]. — М. : ИЕ РАН; Воронеж : Арт-Принт, 2022. — 214 с **6. Blakely Jason. We Built Reality: How Social Science Infiltrated Culture, Politics, and Power.** Oxford University Press, 2020. — 184 p.

7. Curini Luigi, Franzese Robert (eds.). The SAGE Handbook of Research Methods in Political Science and International Relations. SAGE Publications, 2020. — 1289 p.

8. **Mycoff Jason D. Working with Political Science Research Methods.** SAGE Publications, 2019. — 160 p.

			EVALUATION CRI	TERIA		
No.	Criterion	A 90–100% (27-30)	B 70–89% (21-26)	C 50–69% (15-20)	D 25–49% (8-14)	F 0-24% (0-7)
Question 1 30 max Question 2 30 max	knowledge and analysis	The question is fully answered, the content of the answer completely coincides with the task, a deep knowledge of the subject and a high level of academic reading (knowledge of the sources) is demonstrated.	The question is answered, the content of the answer coincides with the task, good knowledge of the subject and sources is demonstrated	The question is not sufficiently covered, the content of the answer partially coincides with the task, sufficient knowledge of the subject and sources is not demonstrated	Serious and/or numerous errors when completing a task, discrepancy between the content of the answer and the task	Violation of the Rules of Conducting The task is 100-95% incomplete
	factual accuracy	No factual inaccuracies	Minor factual inaccuracies	Significant factual inaccuracies	Serious and/or numerous errors when completing a task, discrepancy between the content of the answer and the task	Violation of the Rules of Conducting The task is 100-95% incomplete
	structure, coherence	Clear structure, the answer contains Introduction, body, and conclusion	Minor structural discrepancies	Serious structural discrepancies	No structure and/or coherence is demonstrated	Violation of the Rules of Conducting The task is 100-95% incomplete
Question 3 40 points	Criterion	A 90–100% (36-40)	B 70–89% (35-28)	C 50–69% (27-20)	D 25–49% (19-10)	F 0-24% (0-9)
	knowledge and analysis	The question is fully answered, the content of the answer completely coincides with the task, a deep knowledge of the subject and a high level of academic reading (knowledge of the sources) is demonstrated.	The question is answered, the content of the answer coincides with the task, good knowledge of the subject and sources is demonstrated	The question is not sufficiently covered, the content of the answer partially coincides with the task, sufficient knowledge of the subject and sources is not demonstrated	Serious and/or numerous errors when completing a task, discrepancy between the content of the answer and the task	Violation of the Rules of Conducting The task is 100-95% incomplete
	factual accuracy	No factual inaccuracies	Minor factual inaccuracies	Significant factual inaccuracies	Serious and/or numerous errors when completing a task, discrepancy between the content of the answer and the task	Violation of the Rules of Conducting The task is 100-95% incomplete
	structure, coherence	Clear structure, the answer contains Introduction, body, and conclusion	Minor structural discrepancies	Serious structural discrepancies	No structure and/or coherence is demonstrated	Violation of the Rules of Conducting The task is 100-95% incomplete